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Introduction

- Orofacial cleft is one of the most common and treatable congenital craniofacial deformity. Often caused by lack of fusion of lip and palate during early stages of pregnancy.
- 1/700 babies are born with cleft; approximately 30% is syndromic and 70% non-syndromic (WHO, 2002).
- If left untreated, orofacial cleft can impair normal speech development, growth and could lead to a number of health complications later in life.
- Prevalence rate of orofacial cleft is higher in developing countries due to: 1) Shortage in adequately trained medical professionals (Ghani et al., 2004) 2) Lack of accessibility, and affordability (O'Donnell, 2007) 3) Religious belief (Ross, 2007)

Background on Cleft

Different Types of Cleft

Methodology

Research Questions:
- What is the impact of being born with cleft on health and speech outcomes?
- What is the impact of receiving cleft surgery on health and speech outcomes?

Hypothesis:
- H₀: Being born with cleft has negative impact on overall health and speech outcomes
- H₁: Receiving cleft reparative surgery has positive impact on overall health and speech outcomes

Data:
- Study Settings: West Bengal and Andhra Pradesh region of India
- 2 separate surveys: Parent/Guardian's and Patient-Sibling.
- Control Group: Un-affected siblings to the cleft patients ≥7 years of age
- Treatment Group 1: Un-operated cleft patients between the ages of 11-19 years to measure the impact of being born with orofacial cleft
- Treatment Group 2: Partially or fully treated cleft patients between the ages of 11-19 years to measure the impact of receiving cleft surgery.

Counterfactual: What would happen to the treated patients in the absence of treatment?

Empirical Strategy:
Simple Difference-in-Differences model along with household fixed effects to control for time invariant characters.
- Model 1: \( y_{ij} = \alpha + \beta C_i + \tau T_i + \omega O_i + X_i' \gamma + \mu_i + \epsilon_i \)
- Model 2: \( y_{ij} = \alpha + \beta C_i + S_i + \omega O_i + X_i' \gamma + \mu_i + \epsilon_i \)

Overall health outcome constructed using an Anderson Index and overall speech was measured using “Universal Parameters for Reporting Speech Outcomes”(Henningson et al., 2007).

Results

Table 1: Overall Health Outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Overall Speech Outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Overall Health Outcome using Parental

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

There is wealth literature on orofacial cleft and the impact of cleft surgery. However, the studies lack uniformity and the results are inconsistent. The results of this study suggested that both cleft and cleft surgery have no significant impact on overall health. The cleft severity has significant negative impact on overall speech outcomes but no significant impact of surgical restoration is found.
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