

2008

Gender Differences in Lower Limb Frontal Plane Kinematics During Landing

Gerwyn Hughes

University of San Francisco, ghughes@usfca.edu

James Watkins

Nick Owen

Follow this and additional works at: <https://repository.usfca.edu/ess>

Part of the [Sports Sciences Commons](#), and the [Sports Studies Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Hughes, Gerwyn; Watkins, James; and Owen, Nick, "Gender Differences in Lower Limb Frontal Plane Kinematics During Landing" (2008). *Kinesiology (Formerly Exercise and Sport Science)*. 36.

<https://repository.usfca.edu/ess/36>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts and Sciences at USF Scholarship: a digital repository @ Gleeson Library | Geschke Center. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kinesiology (Formerly Exercise and Sport Science) by an authorized administrator of USF Scholarship: a digital repository @ Gleeson Library | Geschke Center. For more information, please contact repository@usfca.edu.

1 Title: Gender differences in lower limb frontal plane kinematics during
2 landing.

3 Keywords: ACL Injury, gender differences, kinematics, landing, volleyball.

4

5 List of authors and institutions.

6 Corresponding author: Gerwyn Hughes.

7 Postal Address: Health and Exercise Sciences Group,

8 Thames Valley University,

9 Wellington Street,

10 Slough,

11 UK.

12 SL1 1YG.

13 Email: gerwyn.hughes@tvu.ac.uk

14 Telephone: + 44 1753 697532

15 Authors: Gerwyn Hughes¹ James Watkins² and Nick Owen².

16 Institutions: ¹ Faculty of Health and Human Sciences,

17 Thames Valley University,

18 Slough, UK.

19 ² Department of Sports Science,

20 Swansea University,

21 Swansea, UK,

22

23 **Abstract.**

24

25 The study aimed to investigate gender differences in knee valgus angle and inter-
26 knee and inter-ankle distances in university volleyball players when performing
27 opposed block jump landings. Six female and six male university volleyball players
28 performed three dynamic trials each where subjects were instructed to jump up and
29 block a volleyball suspended above a net set at the height of a standard volleyball
30 net as it was spiked against them by an opposing player. Knee valgus/varus, inter-
31 knee distance and inter-ankle distance (absolute and relative to height) were
32 determined during landing using 3D motion analysis. Females displayed significantly
33 greater maximum valgus angle and range of motion than males. This may increase
34 the risk of ligament strain in females compared with males. Minimum absolute inter-
35 knee distance was significantly smaller in females and absolute and relative inter-
36 knee displacement during landing was significantly greater in females compared with
37 males. Both absolute and relative inter-ankle displacement during landing was
38 significantly greater in males than females. These findings suggest that the gender
39 difference in the valgus angle of the knee during two-footed landing is influenced by
40 gender differences in the linear movement of the ankles as well as the knees.
41 Coaches should therefore develop training programmes to focus on movement of
42 both the knee and ankle joints in the frontal plane in order to reduce the knee valgus
43 angle during landing which in turn may reduce the risk of non-contact ACL injury.

44

45

46

47

48 **Introduction.**

49

50 Anterior Cruciate ligament (ACL) injury is a common injury and approximately 70%
51 these injuries occur in sport (Faegin, 1988; Johnson, 1988; Smith *et al.*, 1988). ACL
52 rupture is a debilitating injury and can cause long-term absence from participation in
53 a sport and, in some cases, enforced retirement. Between 70% and 90% of ACL
54 injuries have been reported to be non-contact in nature, i.e., no direct contact with
55 the knee at the time of injury (Woodland and Francis, 1992; McNair *et al.*, 1993;
56 Mykelbust *et al.*, 1997; Griffin *et al.*, 2000). The incidence on non-contact ACL injury
57 in females has been reported to be 6 to 8 times greater than in males competing in
58 the same sports (Chandy and Grana, 1985; Gray *et al.*, 1985; Ferretti *et al.*, 1992;
59 Paulos, 1992; Malone *et al.*, 1993; Lidenfeld *et al.*, 1994; Arendt and Dick, 1995;
60 Gwinn *et al.*, 2000).

61

62 Non-contact ACL injuries appear to be common in activities involving landing (Hume
63 and Steele; 1997, Otago and Neal; 1997), deceleration (Miller *et al.*, 1995) and rapid
64 change of direction (Bartold, 1997). The incidence of ACL injury is therefore relatively
65 high in sports such as basketball, netball, handball and volleyball that are
66 characterised by a high frequency of landing, decelerating and rapid changes of
67 direction (Arendt and Dick, 1995; Griffin *et al.*, 2000).

68

69 Whilst the muscle moments about the joints of the lower limbs largely determine the
70 movement patterns of the lower limbs, the resulting angular kinematics may provide
71 some indication of the strain on the joint ligaments. The greater the range of

72 abnormal joint movement (movement outside a joint's normal range of motion), the
73 greater the possibility of strain on associated ligaments (Watkins, 1999). ACL injury is
74 often associated with valgus movement of the knee at the time of injury (Boden *et al.*,
75 2000; Olsen *et al.*, 2004). For example, Olsen *et al.* (2004) analysed videotapes of
76 game situations in which ACL injury occurred in team handball in order to identify the
77 mechanisms for ACL injury. Three physicians were used to identify factors relating to
78 the knee position such as estimated varus-valgus angle. The results showed that the
79 knee was in a valgus position in all of the 20 cases analysed and the estimated
80 valgus angle was above 10° in 19 of the 20 cases. Therefore it was concluded that
81 valgus knee movement is a high risk factor for ACL injury.

82

83 Since increased valgus angle during dynamic movement has been associated with
84 an increased likelihood of ACL injury a number of studies have investigated the
85 frontal plane kinematics of the knee during landing/cutting. These studies report that
86 females tend to exhibit greater maximum knee valgus angle and greater range of
87 motion (from initial contact to maximum) when landing/cutting than males (Malinzak
88 *et al.*, 2001; Ford *et al.*, 2003; Kernozek *et al.*, 2005). Consequently, the reported
89 greater maximum knee valgus angle in females when landing may increase the risk
90 of ACL injury relative to males. However, the valgus angle of the knee is related to
91 the linear movement of the knee and ankle joints. At present there is little knowledge
92 of the relative contribution of the linear movements of the knee and ankle joints to the
93 reported greater valgus angle in females compared with males during landing. During
94 a two-footed landing manoeuvre, the distances between corresponding joints in the
95 right and left leg, i.e., distance between right and left knees, (inter-joint distances)
96 may provide more insight into the influence of the linear movements of the knee and

97 ankle joints on the increased valgus angle of the knee in females than looking at the
98 knee joint in isolation.

99

100 **Aim.**

101 The aim of the study was to investigate the effects of gender on knee valgus angle
102 and inter-knee and inter-ankle distances in university volleyball players performing
103 block jump landings.

104

105 **Methods.**

106

107 **Subjects.**

108 Data were obtained for six male (Mean age 21.6 ± 3.3 years, mass 70.1 ± 3.1 kg and
109 height 175.7 ± 8.6 cm) and six female (Mean age 21.2 ± 1.3 years, mass 57.6 ± 7.5
110 kg and height 164.8 ± 7.5 cm) university volleyball players. All subjects were right leg
111 dominant and had no previous history of hip/knee or ankle injury. Written consent
112 forms approved by the departmental ethics committee were signed by all subjects
113 prior to data collection.

114

115 **Measurement system.**

116 Two adjacent AMTI force platforms embedded into the laboratory floor sampling at
117 600 Hz were used to measure ground reaction force to determine initial ground
118 contact of right and left legs on landing. A 12 camera Vicon 512 system (Vicon,
119 Oxford, England) sampling at 120 Hz was used to determine 3D coordinates of 16
120 retro-reflective markers (25 mm diameter). Markers were placed directly on the skin

121 over anatomical landmarks in accordance with the Vicon system's lower body plug-in
122 gait marker set; right and left anterior superior iliac spines, right and left posterior
123 superior iliac spines, lower lateral surface of the right and left thigh along the line
124 between the hip and knee joint markers, right and left lateral epicondyle the femur,
125 lower lateral surface of the right and left tibia along the line between knee and ankle
126 joint markers, right and left lateral malleolus, superior proximal end of the second
127 metatarsal of the right and left foot, posterior aspect of the Achilles tendon of the left
128 and right leg at the same height as the second metatarsal marker. From the location
129 of the markers placed on the body, combined with required anthropometric
130 measurements (height, weight, leg length, knee width and ankle width) of each
131 subject, the Vicon system calculated the 3D coordinates of hip, knee and ankle joint
132 centres which were used to determine the thigh and shank segment local reference
133 planes. In the plug-in gait system, the measurement of knee valgus/varus angle was
134 determined as the Euler angle of the shank segment reference frame relative to the
135 thigh segment reference plane rotated in the order 1) flexion/extension, 2)
136 valgus/varus, 3) internal/external rotation. The valgus/varus angle is the angle
137 between the distal extension of the thigh axis and the shank axis. A positive angle
138 indicates varus and a negative angle indicates valgus (Figure 1). Inter-joint distances
139 were calculated as the linear distance in 3D between the corresponding lower limb
140 joint centres of the right and left leg (i.e., distance between right and left knee joint
141 centres) for the knee and ankle joints. Based on a frequency content analysis of the
142 3D coordinate data, marker trajectories were filtered using a Woltring Filter with a
143 low-pass cut-off frequency of 10 Hz and stop-band frequency of 30 Hz.

144 _____
145 Figure 1 about here.
146 _____

147

148 Testing procedure.

149 The laboratory was set up with a rope fixed horizontally to act as a volleyball net at a
150 height of 2.43 m for male subjects and 2.24 m for female subjects (height of a
151 standard volleyball net). The net was placed 5 cm in front of and parallel to the
152 adjacent force platforms. In addition to the net, a volleyball was suspended from the
153 ceiling so that it was positioned 5 cm above the height of the net (2.48 m for males
154 and 2.29 m for females) and with the centre of the ball 10 cm in front of the line of the
155 net (the other side of the net to where the subject (blocker) was standing). The ball
156 was positioned vertically above the line separating the two force platforms. The
157 jumping and landing task was made as realistic as possible by having subjects
158 attempt to block an actual spike performed by an experienced volleyball player. At
159 the start of each trial, the subject stood with each foot on a separate force plate. The
160 subject then timed his/her blocking action in order to try to block the ball as it was
161 spiked. The ball was spiked from the same suspended position in order to eliminate
162 variation in the position and velocity of the ball. On landing, each foot landed on a
163 separate force plate. Following appropriate warm up and practice, data was recorded
164 for three successful trials for each subject.

165

166 Data analysis.

167 The angular displacement of the knee (mean data for right and left legs combined) in
168 the frontal (valgus/varus) plane along with the inter-knee and inter-ankle distances
169 were determined between initial ground contact and the end of landing, which was
170 defined as, depending on which occurred later in each trial, either maximum knee

171 flexion or maximum knee valgus angle. Time – series data were then normalised with
172 respect to average trial time. Inter-joint distances were also normalised to height to
173 account for gender differences in body size (expressed as percentage height, %ht).
174 Independent-samples t-tests were carried out on the angular displacement and inter-
175 joint data at initial ground contact, maximum and/or minimum values and range of
176 motion to examine gender differences. Due to multiple t-tests (15) being carried out
177 on samples taken from the same population, to reduce the chance of type I error, a
178 Bonferroni adjustment was made to the alpha level.

179

180 **Results.**

181

182 Knee valgus/varus angle.

183 Figure 2 shows females contacted the ground in a slight valgus position (–ve values)
184 which progressively increased between initial ground contact and the end of landing.
185 Males, however, contacted the ground in a slight valgus position and moved into a
186 slight varus position (+ve values) at the end of landing (Table 1 and Figure 2). The
187 valgus angle at initial ground contact was not significantly different between males
188 and females. However, the range of motion and the maximum valgus angle were
189 significantly greater in females compared with males (Table 1 and Figure 2).

190

191 _____
191 Table 1 about here.

192 _____

193

194

195

196 _____
196 Figure 2 about here.

197 _____

198

199 Inter knee and inter ankle displacements.

200 There was no significant difference in absolute or relative inter-knee distance at initial
201 ground contact between males and females. The absolute minimum inter-knee
202 distance was significantly longer for males than females but there was no significant
203 difference in the relative minimum inter-knee distance between males and females.
204 The change in both absolute and relative inter-knee distance between initial ground
205 contact and the end of landing was significantly smaller for males than females.
206 There was no significant difference between males and females in absolute or
207 relative inter-ankle distance at ground contact or minimum distance. However, the
208 change in absolute and relative inter-ankle distance between initial ground contact
209 and the end of landing was significantly greater in males than females (Table 1 and
210 Figures 3 and 4).

211 _____
212 Figure 3 about here.

213 _____
214

215 _____
216 Figure 4 about here.

217 _____
218

219 **Discussion and Implications.**

220

221 Knee valgus/varus angle.

222 The results show that females exhibited significantly greater maximum knee valgus
223 angle and significantly greater range of motion of knee valgus angle than males

224 (Table 1 and Figure 2). This finding is supported by a number of previous studies
225 (Malinzak *et al.*, 2001; Ford *et al.*, 2003; Kernozek *et al.*, 2005). However, the values
226 reported in this study are different to previous results, particularly for females. For
227 example, Kernozek *et al.* (2005) reported values of $0.7 \pm 6.9^\circ$ for males and $-24.9 \pm$
228 8.5° for females for maximum knee valgus angle (valgus -ve / varus +ve), compared
229 with $0.6^\circ \pm 9.1$ for males and $-10.4^\circ \pm 7.7$ for females in this study. There are a
230 number of possible reasons for these differences which include subjects' playing
231 standard and task demands. For example, in Kernozek *et al.* (2005) the subjects
232 used were recreational athletes whereas university athletes were used in this study.
233 Also, the effect of opposition in the present study may have resulted in differing levels
234 of conscious control over the landing manoeuvre than in the Kernozek *et al.* (2005)
235 study which involved an unopposed drop landing task.

236

237 Since increased knee valgus angle during landing has been associated with
238 increased risk of ACL injury (Boden *et al.*, 2000; Olsen *et al.*, 2004), the increased
239 knee valgus angle exhibited by females compared with males during landing in the
240 present study may suggest an increased risk of ACL injury in females compared with
241 males. This in turn may be associated with the increased incidence of non-contact
242 ACL injury in females compared with males.

243

244 Inter knee and inter ankle displacements.

245 The results of the inter-knee distances indicate that females' knees move significantly
246 closer together and move through a greater absolute and relative distance during
247 landing than males (Table 1), which is also reported by Ford *et al.* (2003). In the Ford

248 *et al.* (2003) study, inter-knee distance was measured from markers placed on the
249 lateral epicondyles of each femur, whereas in this study inter-knee distance was
250 measured from estimated knee joint centres. Each estimated knee joint centre
251 incorporates an offset equivalent to the sum of half the knee width and the marker
252 radius. The knee joint centre is located as the offset from the marker located on the
253 lateral epicondyle the femur in a direction perpendicular to the line from the hip joint
254 centre to lateral epicondyle the femur marker. To compare the data from this study
255 with that of Ford *et al.* (2003) the average knee offsets of 122.1 mm for males and
256 117.2 mm for females were applied to the Ford *et al.* (2003) data. The amended Ford
257 *et al.* (2003) data for minimum inter-knee distance (males: 223.9 mm \pm 6; females
258 203.8 mm \pm 6) is similar to the results of the present study (males: 233.7 mm \pm 39.4;
259 females: 200.0 mm \pm 34.5). However, the amended Ford *et al.* (2003) data for inter-
260 knee displacement during landing (males: 53 mm \pm 5; females: 73 mm \pm 5) indicate
261 greater displacement compared with the present results (males: 10.2 mm \pm 16.5;
262 females: 27.9 mm \pm 18.0).

263

264 To our knowledge, no data has been reported for inter-ankle distances during two-
265 footed landing manoeuvres. Therefore no comparisons can be made between the
266 results of this study and previous studies. The inter-ankle results indicate that, after
267 initial ground contact, the ankle joint linear motion was greater in males than females
268 in both absolute and relative terms. From Table 1 and Figures 3 and 4 it can be seen
269 that males' ankles are wider apart at initial ground contact and move together more
270 quickly than in females for the first 40% of normalised contact time. Thereafter, the
271 inter-ankle distance is similar in males and females. This is likely to be because the
272 heels are in contact with the ground during this period. The movement patterns

273 indicate that after the toes make contact with the ground, females' ankles move
274 vertically downward to the ground until the heels make contact, whereas for males,
275 the ankles are brought in towards each other as the heels move down to the ground.
276 When looking at the simultaneous linear motion of the knees and ankles on landing
277 (Figures 3 and 4), a continuous inward movement of the ankles is shown by males
278 and females, however, this inward movement of the ankles is greater in males than
279 females. At the same time, the movement of the knees in males show an out – in –
280 out action resulting in minimum net movement. In contrast, the females' knees show
281 continuous inward movement.

282

283 **Conclusions.**

284

285 During two-footed landing females exhibited significantly greater maximum valgus
286 angle and range of motion of knee valgus angle than males. Furthermore, the
287 absolute and relative inter-knee displacement during landing was significantly greater
288 in females than males, whereas absolute and relative inter-ankle displacement during
289 landing was significantly smaller in females than males. These results indicate that
290 the greater knee valgus angle exhibited by females during landing may be influenced
291 by gender differences in the combined linear movements of the knee and ankle joints
292 rather than the knees in isolation. This greater knee valgus angle in females may
293 increase the risk of ligament strain in females relative to males which may contribute
294 to the gender difference in the incidence of non-contact ACL injury. Coaches should
295 therefore incorporate exercises into training programmes to reduce the knee valgus
296 angle in females during two-footed landing. Furthermore, these exercises should

297 focus on the movement of the ankles as well as the knees in reducing knee valgus
298 during landing.

299

References.

300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322

Arendt, E. A., and Dick, R. (1995). Knee injury patterns among man and women in collegiate basketball and soccer. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 23, 694-701.

Bartold, S. J. (1997). Injury driven change to the fundamental design parameters of the Australian rules football boot. In *Abstracts of the Australian conference of Science and Medicine in Sport* (pp. 52-53). Canberra: Sports Medicine Australia.

Boden, B. P., Dean, G. S., Feagin, J. A., and Garrett, W. E. (2000). Mechanisms of anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Orthopedics*, 23, 573-578.

Chandy, T. A., and Grana, W. A. (1985). Secondary school athletic injury in boys and girls: a three-year comparison. *Physician and Sports medicine*, 13, 314-316.

Faegin, J. A. (1988). Isolated anterior cruciate injury. In J. A. Faegin, (Ed.), *The crucial ligaments* (pp. 15-23). New York: Churchill Livingstone.

Ferretti, A., Papandrea, P., Conteduca, F., and Mariani, P. P. (1992). Knee ligament injuries in volleyball players. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 20, 203-207.

Ford, K. R., Myer, G. D., and Hewett, T. E. (2003). Valgus knee motion during landing in high school female and male basketball players. *Medicine and Science in Sport and Exercise*, 35, 1745-1750.

Gray, J., Taunton, J. E., McEnzie, D. C., Clement, D. B., McConkey, J. P., and Davidson, R. G. (1985). A survey of injuries to the anterior cruciate ligament of the knee in female basketball players. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 6, 314-316.

323 Griffin, L. Y., Angel, J., Albohm, M. J., Arendt, E. A., Dick, R. W., Garrett, W. E.,
324 Garrick, J. G., Hewett, T.E., Huston, L., Ireland, M. L., Johnson, R. J., Ben Kibler,
325 W., Lephart, S., Lewis, J. L., Lindenfeld, T. N., Mandelbaum, B. R., Marchak, P.,
326 Teitz, C. C., and Wojtys, E.M. (2000). Noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries:
327 risk factors and prevention strategy. *Journal of the American Academy of*
328 *Orthopaedic Surgeons*, 8, 141-150.

329 Gwinn, D. E., Wilkens, J. H., McDevitt, E. R. Ross, G., and Kao, T. C. (2000). The
330 relative incidence of Anterior cruciate ligament injury in men and women at the
331 United States naval academy. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 28, 98-102.

332 Hume, P. A. and Steele, J. R. (1997). Injury prevention strategies in netball: are
333 Australian players heeding the advice? In *Abstracts of the Australian conference of*
334 *Science and Medicine in Sport* (pp. 306-307). Canberra: Sports Medicine Australia.

335 Johnson, R. J. (1988). *Prevention of anterior cruciate ligament injuries*. In J. A.
336 Faegin, (Ed.), *The crucial ligaments* (pp. 349-356). New York: Churchill Livingstone.

337 Kernozek, T. W., Torry, M. R., Van Hoof, H., Cowley, H., and Tanner, S. (2005).
338 Gender differences in frontal plane and sagittal plane biomechanics during drop
339 landings. *Medicine and Science in Sport and Exercise*, 37, 1003-1012.

340 Lindenfeld, T. N., Schmitt, D. J., Hendy, M. P., Mangine, R. E., and Noyes, F.R.
341 (1994). Incidence of injury in indoor soccer. *The American Journal of Sports*
342 *Medicine*, 22, 354-371.

343 Malinzak, R. A., Colby, S. M., Kirkendall, D. T., Yu, B., and Garrett, W. E. (2001). A
344 comparison of knee joint motion patterns between men and women in selected
345 athletic tasks. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 16, 438-445.

346 Malone, T. R., Hardaker, W. T., Garrett, W. E. Feagin J.A., and Bassett F.H. (1993).
347 Relationship of gender to anterior cruciate ligament injuries in intercollegiate
348 basketball players. *Journal of the Southern Orthopaedic Association*, 2, 36-39.

349 McNair, P., Marshall, R., and Matherston, J. (1993). Important features associated
350 with acute anterior cruciate injury. *The New Zealand Medical Journal*, 103, 537-539.

351 Miller, M. D. M., Cooper, D. E., and Warner, J. J. P. (1995). *Review of sports*
352 *medicine and arthroscopy*. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders.

353 Mykelbust, G., Maehlum, S., Engbretsen, L., Strand, T., and Solheim, E. (1997).
354 Registration of cruciate ligament injuries in Norwegian top level team handball: a
355 prospective study covering two seasons. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and*
356 *Science in Sports*, 7, 289-292.

357 Olsen, O. E., Mykelbust, G., Engebretsen, L., and Bahr, R. (2004). Injury
358 mechanisms for anterior cruciate ligament injuries in team handball: A systematic
359 video analysis. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 32, 1002-1012.

360 Otago, L., and Neal, R. J. (1997). Netball pivot landings- is there a correct way? In
361 *Abstracts of the Australian conference of Science and Medicine in Sport* (pp. 262-
362 263). Canberra: Sports Medicine Australia.

363 Paulos, L. E. (1992). Why failures occur symposium: Revision ACL surgery.
364 *Presented at the American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine*. Eighteenth
365 annual meeting. 6-9 July 1992, San Diego.

366 Smith, B. A., Livesay, G. A., and Woo, S. L. Y. (1988). Biology and biomechanics of
367 the anterior cruciate ligament. *Clinical Sports Medicine*, 12, 637-666.

368 Watkins, J. (1999). *Structure and Function of the Musculoskeletal System*.
369 Champaign: Human Kinetics.

370 Woodland, L. H., and Francis, R. S. (1992). Parameters and comparisons of the
371 quadriceps angle of college-aged men and women in the supine and standing
372 positions. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 20, 208-211.

373

374 **List of figures.**

375 Figure 1. Knee valgus/varus angle: a) Markers placed on skin over bone landmarks.

376 b) Derived estimated joint centres and knee valgus/varus angle θ .

377 Figure 2. Knee valgus/varus (θ_v) between initial ground contact and the end of

378 landing for males and females. The standard deviation at 1% normalised time

379 intervals in indicated by the vertical lines.

380 Figure 3. Absolute inter-knee (d_K) and inter-ankle (d_A) joint centre distances between

381 initial ground contact and the end of landing for males and females.

382 Figure 4. Relative inter-knee (d_K) and inter-ankle (d_A) joint centre distances between

383 initial ground contact and the end of landing for males and females.

384

385 **List of tables.**

386 Table 1. Group mean results for valgus/varus (– varus; + valgus) angles, inter-knee
 387 and inter-ankle distances at initial ground contact (IC), maximum valgus angle
 388 (MAX_{VAL}), maximum varus angle (MAX_{VAR}), minimum distance (MIN) and range of
 389 motion during landing (ROM) (Mean \pm standard deviation).

		Males		Females	
		Absolute	Relative	Absolute	Relative
Valg/var (^o)	IC	-2.8 \pm 5.9	NA	-1.6 \pm 2.8	NA
	MAX_{VAL}	-2.9 \pm 7.9*	NA	-10.4 \pm 7.7*	NA
	MAX_{VAR}	0.6 \pm 9.1	NA	N/A	NA
	ROM	3.5 \pm 9.6*	NA	8.8 \pm 7.8*	NA
Inter-knee distance (mm / %ht)	IC	244.0 \pm 33.0	13.9 \pm 1.9	227.9 \pm 29.4	13.8 \pm 1.8
	MIN	233.7 \pm 39.4*	13.3 \pm 2.2	200.0 \pm 34.5*	12.1 \pm 2.1
	ROM	10.2 \pm 16.5*	0.6 \pm 0.9*	27.9 \pm 18.0*	1.7 \pm 1.1*
Inter-ankle distance (mm / %ht)	IC	310.6 \pm 58.4	17.7 \pm 3.3	288.6 \pm 46.3	17.5 \pm 2.8
	MIN	269.0 \pm 58.7	15.3 \pm 0.9	264.8 \pm 45.8	16.1 \pm 2.8
	ROM	41.6 \pm 27.4*	2.4 \pm 1.6*	23.7 \pm 16.5*	1.4 \pm 1.0*

390

391 *: Significant difference between males and females ($p < 0.01$).

392