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Attitudes and Perspectives Towards Undocumented Immigrants in the United States
Nicole Lillian Morgan

Introduction

• Undocumented immigration in the United States has become a controversial topic in the current media
  • The rapidly growing Latinx population is projected to reach up to 30% of the U.S. population in 2060 (Scott, 2017)
  • Haidt and Graham’s theory of moral beliefs examine the system of patterns for how an individual reacts to agreeable or disagreeable stimuli (Haidt & Graham, 2007)
  • Both prejudice and xenophobia are influential factors when considering individual moral beliefs that stem from individual’s preconceived beliefs about another person (Fein & Spencer, 1997; Stephen, Ybarra & Bachman, 1999)
  • This study examines moral beliefs over the scope of five moral dimensions and the role of ethnicity in the perception of the immigration population

Method

• The participant scores of the Moral Foundations Questionnaire (MFQ) were compared with participant scores of the Attitudes Towards Illegal Immigrants (IA) Scale to determine the relationship between moral beliefs and perception of undocumented immigrants

• Participants (n = 54): USF Undergraduate students (18-25 years of age) completed a series of questionnaires in the following order

Results: Ethnicity Vignettes and IA Scale

• No statistical significance was observed between different ethnicity groups and participant scores of the IA scale

• Results suggested that attitudes did not differ (p<.05) between participants who read the Mexican vignette (M= 78, SD = 9.363), Swedish vignette (M= 77.31, SD = 8.882, or neutral (ambiguous) vignette (M=73.94. SD= 12.25), [F= (2, 51) = 0.8709, p = 0.426]

Discussion

• No indication that ethnicity influences perception of immigration for surveyed population
• Significance indicative of relationship between certain MFT dimensions and attitudes towards undocumented immigration and immigration
  • Fairness/reciprocity, in-group/loyalty, and authority/respect may influence perception of immigration
  • Harm/Care or purity/sanctity may not influence perception of immigration as a moral issue
• Wording change in IA Scale
  • Perception of immigration may be influenced by wording change “illegal immigrant/alien” to “undocumented immigrant”

Hypothesis

1. Individuals who score more conservative on the MFQ are more likely to reflect more anti-immigration beliefs than individuals who score more liberal on the MFQ.
2. Individuals who have read the Mexican vignette will score more negatively on the IA Scale than individuals who read the Swedish or ethnically ambiguous vignette.
3. Individuals who have read the Swedish vignette will score more positively on the IA Scale than individuals who read the Mexican or ethnically ambiguous vignette.

Results: MFQ and IA Scale

• To assess a relationship between MFQ and IA scale, participant scores were separated by moral dimension and compared with IA participant scores

• No significant correlation among the IA scale and dimensions for Harm/Care (r = 0.1965, p = 0.017054) or Purity/Sanctity (r = -0.0049, p = 0.022996)
• Significant correlation among the IA scale and dimensions for Fairness/Reciprocity (r = 0.0696, p = 0.20467), In-group/Loyalty (r = -0.1414, p = 0.003373), and Authority/Respect (r = -0.23095, p = 0.06494)
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