5-23-2005

Black Hole Thermodynamics From Near-horizon Conformal Quantum Mechanics

Horacio E. Camblong
University of San Francisco, camblong@usfca.edu

PHYSICAL REVIEW D **71,** 104029 (2005)

Black hole thermodynamics from near-horizon conformal quantum mechanics

Horacio E. Camblong[1] and Carlos R. Ordóñez[2,3]
[1]*Department of Physics, University of San Francisco, San Francisco, California 94117-1080, USA*
[2]*Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204-5506, USA*
[3]*World Laboratory Center for Pan-American Collaboration in Science and Technology, University of Houston Center, Houston, Texas 77204-5506, USA*

(Received 27 December 2004; revised manuscript received 31 March 2005; published 23 May 2005)

The thermodynamics of black holes is shown to be directly induced by their near-horizon conformal invariance. This behavior is exhibited using a scalar field as a probe of the black hole gravitational background, for a general class of metrics in D spacetime dimensions (with $D \geq 4$). The ensuing analysis is based on conformal quantum mechanics, within a hierarchical near-horizon expansion. In particular, the leading conformal behavior provides the correct quantum statistical properties for the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, with the near-horizon physics governing the thermodynamics from the outset. Most importantly: (i) this treatment reveals the emergence of holographic properties; (ii) the conformal coupling parameter is shown to be related to the Hawking temperature; and (iii) Schwarzschild-like coordinates, despite their "coordinate singularity," can be used self-consistently to describe the thermodynamics of black holes.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.71.104029 PACS numbers: 04.70.Dy, 04.50.+h, 04.62.+v, 11.10.Gh

I. INTRODUCTION

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S_{\rm BH}$ [1], the Hawking temperature T_H, and the Hawking effect [2] are well-established features of black hole thermodynamics [3] whose universality points to the existence of a quantum gravitational theory. Moreover, the statistical-mechanical derivations of the entropy $S_{\rm BH}$ from string theory [4] and loop quantum gravity [5] verify that these results do not depend on the details of the underlying quantum theory of gravity. In addition, the thermodynamic properties appear to originate from the event horizon [6], within two major categories: (i) those arising from the relationship $S_{\rm BH} = \mathcal{A}/4$ between the entropy and the horizon area $\mathcal{A}$; (ii) those related to the near-horizon conformal symmetry. The first category has led to 't Hooft's brick-wall model [7] and the thermal-atmosphere proposal [8]—which suggest an origin of the entropy from within a "Planck-length skin" of the horizon [7]—and subsequently to the holographic principle [9] and the AdS/CFT correspondence [10]. In the second category, the neighborhood of the horizon displays a peculiar SO(2,1) conformal symmetry [11–14]; this kind of black-hole near-horizon invariance [15–17] has been generalized to its supersymmetric extensions [18], and related to horizon states [19,20], to the thermodynamics [20], and to the Calogero model [21,22]. Moreover, in Refs. [16,17], the thermodynamics is explicitly connected with the underlying near-horizon conformal field theory through the Cardy formula.

With these ideas in mind, in this paper we develop a framework within which black hole thermodynamics emerges from the near-horizon conformal symmetry as the central guiding principle. Furthermore, we display a *direct and explicit connection between the conformal symmetry and the thermodynamics*: (i) the Hawking temperature is determined from near-horizon consistency requirements and traced to the conformal symmetry; (ii) the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy can be interpreted within a brick-wall model through a near-horizon conformal quantum mechanics; (iii) the determination of the entropy as a physical observable leads to a natural cutoff of the order of the Planck length. Hence, our work supports the concept that the quantum degrees of freedom of a black hole appear to reside on its horizon and should arise from a Planck-scale quantum theory of gravity.

In this paper we adopt the metric conventions of Ref. [23] and choose natural units $\hbar = 1$, $c = 1$, and $k_B = 1$; by contrast, the D-dimensional gravitational constant $G_N^{(D)}$ is displayed in appropriate expressions, especially in Sec. IV. In Sec. II we consider a scalar field in the gravitational background and study its near-horizon behavior. In Sec. III we develop the general framework for the computation of thermodynamic properties. In Sec. IV we provide a renormalization of the entropy in a geometric manner, which we implement with the aid of 't Hooft's brick-wall model. Finally, these ideas are critically reexamined in Sec. V.

II. FIELD MODES AND NEAR-HORIZON EXPANSION

The conjecture that *the horizon encodes the quantum properties of a black hole* [7] can be tested by considering a quantum field as a probe of the gravitational background. This method has been extensively used in the literature dating back to the early seminal works of the 1970s, including Ref. [2]. The main purpose of our paper is to apply this well-known technique to show that the near-horizon conformal symmetry of Refs. [12,19,20] governs the leading thermodynamics of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy and the Hawking temperature. These properties can be seen most easily for the particular case of an action ($D \geq 4$)

$$S = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^D x\sqrt{-g}[g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\Phi\nabla_\nu\Phi + m^2\Phi^2 + \xi R\Phi^2], \tag{1}$$

which describes the coupling of a scalar field Φ to the background metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ through its covariant derivatives $\nabla_\mu\Phi$ and to the curvature scalar R. In addition, we assume a metric

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + [f(r)]^{-1}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2_{(D-2)} \tag{2}$$

(where $d\Omega^2_{(D-2)}$ is the metric on the unit sphere S^{D-2}), which includes the Reissner-Nordström geometries in D spacetime dimensions [24], extensions with a cosmological constant, and related stringy black-hole solutions with additional charges [25]. Equation (1) can be generalized to include additional fields; this possibility, which may lead to ambiguities [7,26], is critically revisited in Sec. V.

The expansion of the quantum field Φ in generalized Schwarzschild coordinates (t, r, Ω),

$$\Phi(t, r, \Omega) = \sum_{n,l,m}[a_{nlm}\phi_{nlm}(r, \Omega)e^{-i\omega_{nl}t} + a^\dagger_{nlm}\phi^*_{nlm}(r, \Omega)e^{i\omega_{nl}t}], \tag{3}$$

involves creation and annihilation operators subject to the usual canonical commutation relations and a complete set of orthonormal modes $\phi_{nlm}(r, \Omega) = Y_{lm}(\Omega)\chi(r)u_{nl}(r)$ that satisfy the equation $[\Box - (m^2 + \xi R)]\phi e^{\mp i\omega t} = 0$; the discrete index n corresponds to enclosing the system in a spherical box for the thermodynamic analysis. For a metric (2), the angular dependence of the modes is given by the ultraspherical harmonics $Y_{lm}(\Omega)$ [27], with eigenvalues $\lambda_{l,D} = l(l + D - 3)$, while the choice $\chi(r) = [f(r)]^{-1/2}r^{-(D-2)/2}$ generates a Liouville transformation [28] that reduces the equation for the radial part to its normal form

$$u''_{nl}(r) + \Im(r; \omega_{nl}, \alpha_{l,D})u_{nl}(r) = 0 \tag{4}$$

for every particular frequency ω_{nl}. Thus, the reduction of the field (3) to its normal modes induces an *effective quantum mechanics*. With $f \equiv f(r)$ and the parameters $\alpha_{l,D} = \lambda_{l,D} + \nu^2 = [l + (D - 3)/2]^2$ and $\nu = (D - 3)/2$, the *effective interaction* $\Im$ is given by

$$\Im(r; \omega, \alpha_{l,D}) = \frac{1}{f^2}\left[\omega^2 + \frac{f'^2}{4}\right] - \frac{1}{f}\frac{\alpha_{l,D}}{r^2} - \frac{1}{f}(m^2 + \xi R) + R_{rr} + \left[\left(\frac{1}{f} - 1\right)\nu^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right]\frac{1}{r^2}, \tag{5}$$

where $R_{rr} = -f''/2f - (D - 2)f'/(2rf)$ is the radial component of the Ricci tensor. The effective interaction $\Im$ includes two noteworthy terms: the first one, leading to the SO(2,1) conformal interaction in Schwarzschild coordinates; and the second one, which gives the only dependence of $\Im(r; \omega, \alpha_{l,D})$ with respect to the field angular momentum.

The near-horizon conformal symmetry can be studied by considering an expansion of Eq. (4), with the variable $x = r - r_+$, where r_+ is the root of the equation $f(r) = 0$ defining the outer event horizon $\mathcal{H}$. In this paper, we will consider the *nonextremal* case, with $f'_+ \equiv f'(r_+) \neq 0$ (the extremal case is known to involve a number of subtleties [26]). Consequently, the terms in Eq. (5) can be reduced with $f''/f \overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} f''_+/(f'_+x)$ and $f'/f \overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} 1/x$, together with $r \overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} r_+$, where $\overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim}$ stands for the hierarchical expansion about $\mathcal{H}$; then, the *leading* terms, of order $O(1/x^2)$, become asymptotically dominant and Eq. (4) turns into

$$u''(x) + \frac{\lambda}{x^2}[1 + O(x)]u(x) \overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} 0, \tag{6}$$

which is driven by the interaction $V_{\rm eff}(x) = -\lambda/x^2$, with a one-dimensional effective Hamiltonian $H = p_x^2 - \lambda/x^2$. In Eq. (6), by abuse of notation: $u(r) \equiv u(x)$, and

$$\lambda = \Theta^2 + \frac{1}{4}, \qquad \Theta = \frac{\omega}{f'_+}. \tag{7}$$

The corresponding physics, known as conformal quantum mechanics [29,30], is invariant under general "effective-time ($\mathcal{T}$) reparametrizations," where $\mathcal{T}$ is the variable conjugate to the Hamiltonian H. These transformations involve [12] translations generated by H, scalings due to the dilation operator $D \equiv \mathcal{T}H - (p_x x + x p_x)/4$, and translations of reciprocal $\mathcal{T}$ due to the special conformal operator $K \equiv 2\mathcal{T}D - \mathcal{T}^2H + x^2/4$. The commutators

$$[D, H] = -i\hbar H, \qquad [K, H] = -2i\hbar D, \qquad [D, K] = i\hbar K, \tag{8}$$

define a noncompact SO(2, 1) $\approx$ SL(2, R) Lie algebra [11], which summarizes the near-horizon dynamics of the field in Schwarzschild coordinates. While the relevance of this symmetry for black hole thermodynamics was first discussed in Refs. [19,20], the full-fledged form of the conformal coupling (7) for arbitrary frequencies ω has not been properly recognized. In contrast to the work of Refs. [19,20], we show herein that this frequency dependence is a crucial ingredient for the Hawking temperature T_H and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S_{\rm BH}$. Specifically: (i) Eq. (7) describes an effective system with the conformal symmetry algebra (8) in the strong-coupling regime ($\lambda > 1/4$); (ii) such system experiences the characteristic pathologies of *singular quantum mechanics*, which, as we will see in the next section, lead to a *divergent contribution to the density of modes that governs the thermodynamics*.

The apparent simplicity of Eq. (6) has completely erased all information about the additional dynamical degrees of freedom of the field: the angular-momentum variables. For the calculation of the entropy we need a generalized expansion that includes the leading order with respect to angular momentum. As this dynamical dependence appears in only one term, $\alpha_{l,D}/(fr^2)$, in Eq. (5), the leading orders become

$$\Im(r;\omega,\alpha_{l,D}) = \left\{\left[\frac{\omega^2}{(f'_+)^2}+\frac{1}{4}\right]x^{-2} - \frac{\alpha_{l,D}}{f'_+ r_+^2}\frac{1}{x}\right\}[1+O(x)]. \tag{9}$$

In the hierarchical expansion (9), one can see the reason for the necessity to keep track of this additional angular-momentum dependence. While all other terms in Eq. (5) become negligible for sufficiently small x, the term $\alpha_{l,D}/(fr^2)$ can become comparable to the leading order x^{-2} in Eq. (9), for sufficiently high values of $\alpha_{l,D}$. In other words, for sufficiently high angular momentum l, the near-horizon expansion needs to be supplemented by an angular-momentum contribution of order x^{-1}. This additional term provides a cutoff that carries the necessary phase-space information for the statistical counting of degrees of freedom. Thus, *it is the interplay between the conformally invariant near-horizon leading term and the field angular momentum that completely determines the thermodynamics*; in Sec. IV, we will see that this competition leads directly to the holographic property $S_{\rm BH} = \mathcal{A}/4$.

III. THERMODYNAMICS AND SPECTRAL FUNCTIONS

The central concept behind the statistical mechanics of the field Φ is the existence of *thermal averages*. For the static spacetimes with metrics (2), thermodynamic equilibrium at temperature $T = 1/\beta$ can be established from the periodicity of the Euclidean time $\tau_E = -it$ in finite-temperature field theory. In the seminal work of Ref. [31], the Hawking temperature $T = T_H$ was shown to be the unique value required for the removal of a bolt singularity of the near-horizon Euclidean metric. In terms of the conformal parameter Θ:

$$T_H = \frac{f'_+}{4\pi} = \left(4\pi\frac{\Theta}{\omega}\right)^{-1} \tag{10}$$

follows from the near-horizon expansion of the (τ_E, r) sector of the metric, which takes the two-dimensional polar-coordinate form $f(r)d\tau_E^2 + [f(r)]^{-1}dr^2 \overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} \rho^2 d\alpha^2 + d\rho^2$, with $f^{-1}dr^2 = d\rho^2$ [32]. This argument unambiguously shows that *the thermodynamics is dictated by the near-horizon conformal physics.* However, a complete characterization of thermal equilibrium entails self-consistency within conformal quantum mechanics; in principle, for every frequency ω and T_H given in Eq. (10), this amounts to the realization of thermal equilibrium through a Boltzmann factor [2,33] $\exp[-\omega/T_H]$, as in the complex-path method of Refs. [34–36]. Incidentally, the invariance of the temperature and surface gravity of a stationary black hole under conformal transformations of the metric, $g_{\mu\nu} \to \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}$, is a well-known property [37]; however, the connection between the approach of Ref. [37] and that of our paper—based on the symmetry algebra (8)—is not immediately obvious. These issues will be considered in a forthcoming publication, using the SO(2,1) conformal interaction.

With the temperature (10) in the canonical ensemble, the thermodynamic functions can be computed in the usual way [7,26]; for example, starting with the free energy F and density operator $\rho = e^{-\beta(:H:-F)}$, the entropy $S \equiv -\mathrm{Tr}[\rho\ln\rho] = \beta^2\partial F/\partial\beta$ is given by

$$S = -\int_0^\infty d\omega \ln(1-e^{-\beta\omega})\left[\left(\omega\frac{d}{d\omega}+2\right)\frac{dN(\omega)}{d\omega}\right], \tag{11}$$

which follows from the familiar expression for a free field [7,26] through integration by parts. In Eq. (11), the nontrivial effects of the spacetime curvature are carried by the spectral function $N(\omega)$, which measures the cumulative number of modes associated with the field Eq. (4). In turn, the mode ordering $\{nlm\}$ is governed by Sturm's theorem [38] for a given effective potential (5), so that $\mathcal{N}_l(\omega) = \mathcal{Z}_l(\omega) + 1$ and $\mathcal{Z}_l(\omega)$ are the ordinal number and number of zeros of the eigenfunction $u_{nl}(r)$ in Eq. (4) for every value of ω. As a result,

$$N(\omega) = \sum_{\substack{n,l,m \\ \Im(r;\omega_{nl},\alpha_{l,D})\le\Im(r;\omega,\alpha_{l,D})}} 1 = \sum_l g_l \mathcal{N}_l(\omega), \tag{12}$$

where $g_l = (2l + D - 3)(l + D - 4)!/[l!(D - 3)!]$ is the multiplicity of $Y_{lm}(\Omega)$ [27].

The spectral function $N(\omega)$ can be computed with the algorithm of Eq. (12) combined with the semiclassical approximation [7,26], which involves a linear combination of

$$u_\pm(r) = [k_{\alpha_{l,D}}(r)]^{-1/2}\exp\left[\pm i\int^r k_{\alpha_{l,D}}(r')dr'\right], \tag{13}$$

i.e., the familiar WKB wave functions with a local wave number $k_{\alpha_{l,D}}(r)$. For the relevant domain, namely, in the neighborhood of the horizon, a Langer-corrected wave number [39]

$$k_{\alpha_{l,D}}(r) = k_{\alpha_{l,D}}(r_+ + x) = \sqrt{\Im(r_+ + x;\omega,\alpha_{l,D}) - \frac{1}{4x^2}} \tag{14}$$

is required to deal properly with the coordinate singularity. The ordinal number

$$\mathcal{N}_l(\omega) = \int_I k_{\alpha_{l,D}}(r)dr \tag{15}$$

is obtained from the wave functions (13), with an integration range I in the spatial region outside the horizon, limited by the semiclassical restriction within the turning points. In addition, the nontrivial angular-momentum sum in Eq. (12) can be approximated in the semiclassical regime by means of the rule [40]

$$\sum_l g_l F(\alpha_l) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(D-2)} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \alpha^{D/2-2} F(\alpha). \tag{16}$$

As a result, substituting Eqs. (15) and (16) in Eq. (12), we obtain

$$N(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi\Gamma(D-2)} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \alpha^{D/2-2} \int_I dr k_\alpha(r), \tag{17}$$

where the semiclassical interval I is limited by a right turning point $r_{\rm max} = r_{\rm max}(\alpha)$, which is defined by the zero of the radicand in Eq. (14). Reciprocally, if the order of integration is reversed, an angular momentum cutoff $\alpha_{\rm max}$ can be defined for a given x; this is implicitly given by $\Im(r_+ + x; \omega, \alpha_{\rm max}) = 1/(4x^2)$.

As it stands, Eq. (17) describes the physics of the scalar field in the gravitational background, including the effects associated with all relevant scales. In particular, it contains: (i) its ordinary bulk behavior; (ii) effects of the near-horizon physics, which correspond to the sector $r \sim r_+$; (iii) additional terms arising from the intermediate region. For the relevant near-horizon physics, a systematic near-horizon expansion can be applied to Eq. (17) and then transferred to all relevant thermodynamic quantities. The leading orders in Eq. (9) call for the use of the Langer prescription (14), which yields the replacement $\lambda/x^2 \to \lambda/x^2 - 1/4x^2 = \Theta^2/x^2$. Therefore, from Eqs. (7), (9), and (14),

$$k_{\alpha_{l,D}} = k_{\alpha_{l,D}}(r = r_+ + x; \Theta, \alpha_{l,D}) \stackrel{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{\Theta^2}{x^2}[1 + O(x)] - \frac{A(r_+)\alpha_{l,D}}{x}[1 + O(x)]}, \tag{18}$$

where $A(r_+) = 1/(f'_+ r_+^2)$ stands for the angular-momentum coefficient.

The leading orders of the corresponding spectral function (17) become

$$N(\omega) \stackrel{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} \frac{\Theta}{\pi\Gamma(D-2)} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \alpha^{D/2-2} \int_a^{x_{\rm max}(\alpha)} \frac{dx}{x} \times \sqrt{1 - \frac{A(r_+)\alpha}{\Theta^2} x}[1 + O(x)], \tag{19}$$

where $x_{\rm max} = r_{\rm max} - r_+$ and a is a coordinate cutoff.

Two important conclusions stem from this analysis, from Eq. (19):

(i) The conformal interaction involves an effective "coupling parameter" Θ^2 rather than λ. This parameter emerges from the near-horizon physics alone and provides a *conformal wave number* $k_{\rm conf}(x) = \Theta/x$ in Eq. (18).

(ii) The angular-momentum coefficient $A(r_+)$, needed for the mode counting (19), is due to the S^{D-2} foliation of the metric and yields an angular-momentum degeneracy factor $\chi_{\alpha_{l,D}}(x) = \sqrt{1 - A(r_+)\alpha_{l,D}x/\Theta^2}$ that modifies the $k_{\rm conf}(x)$ in Eq. (18).

Finally, a simple rescaling of the integral with respect to α shows that

$$N(\omega) \stackrel{(\mathcal{H})}{\propto} \Theta^{D-1}[A(r_+)]^{-(D-2)/2} \lim_{a\to 0} \int_a^{x_1} \frac{dx}{x^{D/2}}[1 + O(x)], \tag{20}$$

where a is a near-horizon coordinate cutoff for the radial variable r and x_1 is an arbitrary upper limit. Unfortunately, two major flaws of Eq. (20) prevent a meaningful application of this formula. First, the integral in Eq. (20) is divergent with respect to the limit $a \to 0$, and this singular behavior is transferred to all thermodynamic functions, including the entropy (11). This *"ultraviolet catastrophe"* [3], which can be viewed as due to the divergent near-horizon redshifts, signals the existence of new quantum gravitational physics near the horizon and requires an appropriate *regularization* of the theory. One of the novel features of the approach presented herein is the description of this "ultraviolet catastrophe" in *Schwarzschild coordinates*, as directly arising from singular *conformal quantum mechanics*. Second, the naive use of a radial cutoff a as a finite adjustable parameter cannot work as this is merely a coordinate assignment; instead, the thermodynamic functions should be recast in terms of physical observables—a *renormalization* of the theory. In conclusion, there is a way of treating the divergence and the noncovariant nature of a simultaneously: the concurrent use of real-space renormalization and a geometric redefinition of a. In particular, the brick-wall model [7] provides an implementation of this regularization. This is the problem to which we now turn.

IV. GEOMETRIC RENORMALIZATION

The divergent behavior of the spectral function (20) and of the associated thermodynamics has a simple physical interpretation. The framework defined by a field action (1) in a gravitational background (2) is but an *effective theory* that calls for modifications in the ultraviolet sector, as the event horizon is approached. In a generic sense, this is the ansatz known as 't Hooft's "brick-wall model," according to which the relevant part of the entropy S in Eq. (11) arises from a "thermal atmosphere" extending a few Planck lengths above the horizon, and whose ultimate origin lies in a full-fledged quantum theory of gravitation.

In our approach, the ultraviolet cutoff a in Eq. (20) provides an approximate coordinate value leading to a scale for the transition to more fundamental short-distance physics. As such, a is a particular value of the Schwarzschild coordinate r rather than a proper length scale. For the *geometrization* of the theory, what is needed is a *proper distance* [7]

$$\rho(x) = [\ell_P^{(D)}]^{-1} \int_{r_+}^{r_+ + x} |g_{rr}(r)|^{1/2} dr \overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} \frac{2}{\ell_P^{(D)} \sqrt{f'_+}} \sqrt{x}[1 + O(x)] \tag{21}$$

from the horizon, which we write in dimensionless form with respect to the D-dimensional Planck length $\ell_P^{(D)} = [G_N^{(D)}]^{1/(D-2)}$. In particular, the proper "geometrical elevation" h_D of the "brick wall" (away from the horizon) can be identified as $h_D = \rho(a)$. In a more restricted sense, the regularization of the theory can be implemented by enforcing a boundary condition at the location defined by the coordinate parameter a. In particular, a sharp cutoff in the integral of Eq. (20) is equivalent to the use of a Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\Phi(t, r = a, \Omega) = 0; \tag{22}$$

this assignment is a consequence of the selection of a semiclassical left turning point. However, the existence of fairly general results in conformal quantum mechanics, which are independent of the selection of the ultraviolet physics [29,30], suggests that different boundary conditions are likely to yield the same physics.

The redefinition involved in Eq. (21) permits the geometrization of Eq. (19),

$$N(\omega) \overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} \frac{2\Theta}{\pi} \int_{h_D} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \varrho_D(\alpha_{\max}(\rho)), \tag{23}$$

where the angular-momentum degeneracy is described by the weight function

$$\varrho_D(\alpha_{\max}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(D-2)} \int_0^{\alpha_{\max}} d\alpha\, \alpha^{D/2-2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{\max}}} \tag{24}$$

$$\overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} C_D \frac{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{D-2}}{4} \left(\frac{\Theta}{\rho}\right)^{D-2}, \tag{25}$$

with $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{D-2} = \Omega_{(D-2)}[r_+/\ell_P^{(D)}]^{D-2}$ being the $(D-2)$-dimensional horizon area in Planck units, given in terms of $\Omega_{(D-2)} = 2\pi^{(D-1)/2}/\Gamma((D-1)/2)$. In Eqs. (23) and (25) and hereafter, the higher-order terms of the near-horizon expansion are omitted; beta-function identities give the numerical constant $C_D = 2^D\Gamma(D/2)/\pi^{D/2-1}\Gamma(D)$; and the angular-momentum cutoff, from Eqs. (19) and (21), becomes $\alpha_{\max}(\rho) = 4[r_+/\ell_P^{(D)}]^2\Theta^2/\rho^2$.

A number of remarks are in order. Equation (23) shows the interplay between the weight function (24) and the purely conformal contribution $N_{\rm CQM}(\omega) = 2\Theta/\pi \int_{h_D} d\rho/\rho$, which would otherwise lead to a renormalized conformal logarithmic counting of states [30]. In contrast to this logarithmic behavior, in the case of black hole thermodynamics, the angular-momentum degeneracy weight changes the distance scaling in Eq. (23), due to the additional dependence implicit through the "cutoff" $\alpha_{\max}(\rho)$. Furthermore, Eq. (25) shows the presence of two distinct contributions, in addition to the numerical constant C_D: the "holographic factor" $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{D-2}/4$ and the factor associated with the "conformal part" of the angular-momentum cutoff, $(\Theta/\rho)^{D-2}$. For the class of metrics considered in this work, the holographic factor emerges from a phase-space contribution that can be traced to the horizon hypersurface. In turn, the "conformal part" of the angular-momentum cutoff factor is due to the competing effects of the conformal interaction, parametrized via the effective coupling Θ^2, and the angular-momentum term. Correspondingly, from Eqs. (23)–(25),

$$N(\omega) \overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_D \frac{\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{D-2}}{4} [\Theta(\omega)]^{D-1}, \tag{26}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_D = \{2C_D/[(D-2)\pi]\}[h_D]^{-(D-2)}$ is a numerical constant arising from phase-space counting of modes and from measuring the cutoff elevation h_D. Most importantly, Eq. (26) shows that the angular momentum contributes to the horizon degrees of freedom through $\varrho_D(\alpha_{\max})$, while the conformal interaction mainly induces the degrees of freedom due to radial displacements and associated with the SO(2,1) symmetry.

The *geometric renormalization* of the spectral functions, leading to Eq. (26), transfers to all thermodynamic quantities. In particular, this procedure should apply to the entropy (11). The fundamental concept already displayed by Eq. (26), is that the entropy is a surface contribution induced by the horizon. Our derivation displays this $(D-2)$-dimensional feature in its most transparent form as arising from the summation over angular-momentum degrees of freedom. Correspondingly, this also suggests the property known as holography, whose realization for black-hole entropy appears to be related to the conformal nature of the near-horizon expansion. Specifically, substituting Eq. (26) in Eq. (11),

$$S \overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\sim} S_D \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta f'_+}\right)^{D-1} S_{\rm BH}, \tag{27}$$

where the expected Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is

$$S_{\rm BH} = \frac{1}{4} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{D-2}, \tag{28}$$

and the numerical constant

$$S_D = \frac{D(D-1)}{2^{D-1}} \mathcal{N}_D J_D = \left[\frac{\pi^{1-3D/2}}{2^{D-2}} D\zeta(D)\Gamma(D/2-1)\right][h_D]^{-(D-2)} \tag{29}$$

has been evaluated in terms of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(z)$ from the integral

$$J_D = -\int_0^\infty d\eta \eta^{D-2} \ln(1 - e^{-2\pi\eta}) = \frac{\zeta(D)\Gamma(D-1)}{(2\pi)^{D-1}}. \tag{30}$$

Finally, the entropy (27) reduces to the expected holographic result (28), but only after two additional identifications are made. First, the factor $[4\pi/(\beta f'_+)]^{D-1}$ can be set equal to unity, due to the Hawking-temperature assignment (10). The second identification involves the factor (29), which should be set equal to unity; this condition determines the ''elevation''

$$h_D = \frac{1}{2}[D\zeta(D)\Gamma(D/2-1)\pi^{1-3D/2}]^{1/(D-2)}, \tag{31}$$

of the brick wall above the horizon. For example, for $D = 4$ [7], h_D in Eq. (31) reduces to $1/\sqrt{90\pi}$. Thus, when physical units are restored in terms of the Planck length $\ell_P^{(D)}$, this distance becomes $H_D = h_D \ell_P^{(D)}$, whose order of magnitude is comparable to that of $\ell_P^{(D)}$.

In conclusion, the entropy (28) follows quite naturally within conformal quantum mechanics and requires a real-space regulator whose concomitant invariant distance is of the order of the Planck length. Moreover, our derivation shows two important features: (i) *the entropy is a* $(D-2)$-*dimensional property* induced by the near-horizon expansion and implemented through the angular-momentum phase-space counting of states; (ii) *the temperature is purely conformal*. These universal properties are driven by the near-horizon symmetry and apply to a large class of black holes and any number of dimensions, thus suggesting the existence of an underlying order arising from the Planck scale.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have considered the near-horizon conformal symmetry of a broad class of black-hole metrics and described the emergence of thermodynamic behavior induced by the existence of an event horizon. Specifically, we have rederived the Hawking temperature (10) and Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (28) almost exclusively from this conformal symmetry. In the case of the entropy, an appropriate treatment of the angular momentum degrees of freedom directly relates to the horizon area, with the conformal sector requiring an effective-field-theory type of renormalization such as that within the brick-wall model. The ensuing symmetry-based characterization of the thermal nature of black holes ascribes the singular behavior of thermodynamic quantities to the physics within a ''Planck-length skin'' surrounding the horizon. In addition, our work:

(i) Provides strong additional evidence that the physical origin of the quantum-mechanical degrees of freedom of a black hole can be traced to within a Planck scale of the event horizon.

(ii) Shows the need for new physics near the Planck scale, manifested through the existence of an invariant radial distance from the horizon where the theory breaks down [41].

(iii) May prove useful in identifying the relevant parts of quantum gravity that are responsible for the thermodynamic behavior of black holes.

A number of critical remarks are in order, as the brick wall model poses several puzzling questions. First, the scalar field-action of Eq. (1) can be extended to involve any number of ''species,'' with different types of fields; this ambiguity implies a possible dependence on the number and type of species [3,7,26]. Second, when this generalized action is applied to the computation of the entropy as in Eq. (27), the identification of the Bekenstein-Hawking result (28) with a numerical prefactor of $1/4$ requires a fine-tuning of the cutoff [3,7,26], as in Eq. (31). In other words, the entropy prefactor is not calculable in this approach, thus being subject to renormalization; however, the result still has two remarkable features that suggest its possible correctness: the area dependence and the expected order of magnitude. Finally, it was first shown in Ref. [42] and subsequently confirmed in other papers [43] that the brick-wall contribution to the entropy can be interpreted as being absorbed by a renormalization of Newton's gravitational constant G_N. A possible interpretation of these multiple ambiguities is that the species dependence of the entropy prefactor is compensated by a corresponding dependence of the renormalization of G_N; this is confirmed by miscellaneous renormalization approaches [26,44,45]. Notwithstanding any unresolved issues, the results of our paper appear to be extremely *robust* and confirm the relevance of the *conformal aspects of black hole thermodynamics*; in particular, the temperature and the Hawking effect are independent of any particular regularization model. In this regard, the near-horizon conformal symmetry appears to be central to black hole thermodynamics, even though its physical interpretation and relationship to spacetime symmetries of quantum gravity still remain elusive. In this context, it would be useful to uncover the meaning of our construction within an approach based on conformal field theories, as in the work of Refs. [16,17].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0308300 (H. E. C.) and under Grant No. 0308435 (C. R. O.), and by the University of San Francisco Faculty Development Fund (H. E. C.). We also thank Professor Cliff Burgess for stimulating discussions and Dr. Stanley Nel for generous travel support that facilitated the conception of this project.

[1] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 2333 (1973); **9**, 3292 (1974).

[2] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975).

[3] R. M. Wald, Living Rev. Relativity **4**, 6 (2001); and references therein.

[4] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. B **379**, 99 (1996); reviewed in A. W. Peet, hep-th/0008241.

[5] A. Ashtekar, J. Baez, A. Corichi, and K. Krasnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 904 (1998).

[6] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rep. **406**, 49 (2005).

[7] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B256**, 727 (1985).

[8] W. H. Zurek and K. S. Thorne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2171 (1985).

[9] G. 't Hooft, gr-qc/9310026; L. Susskind, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) **36**, 6377 (1995); reviewed in R. Bousso, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 825 (2002).

[10] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998).

[11] B. Wybourne, *Classical Groups for Physicists* (Wiley, New York, 1974).

[12] The relevance of this symmetry has been emphasized in the pioneering work of R. Jackiw, Phys. Today **25 (1)**, 23 (1972); Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **129**, 183 (1980); **201**, 83 (1990).

[13] For *different* treatments of conformal quantum mechanics: V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, and G. Furlan, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. A **34**, 569 (1976); C. Leiva and M. S. Plyushchay, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **307**, 372 (2003). And for its supersymmetric generalizations: V. P. Akulov and I. A. Pashnev, Theor. Math. Phys. (Engl. Transl.) **56**, 862 (1983); S. Fubini and E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. **B245**, 17 (1984); A. Anabalon and M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Lett. B **572**, 202 (2003); C. Leiva and M. S. Plyushchay, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2003) 069.

[14] The geometry of the models of Ref. [13] has been studied in G. Papadopoulos, Classical Quantum Gravity **17**, 3715 (2000); J. Michelson and A. Strominger, Commun. Math. Phys. **213**, 1 (2000).

[15] A. Strominger, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (1998) 009.

[16] S. Carlip, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2828 (1999); Classical Quantum Gravity **16**, 3327 (1999); Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. **88**, 10 (2000); Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 241301 (2002); Classical Quantum Gravity **22**, 1303 (2005).

[17] S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Lett. B **454**, 213 (1999).

[18] P. Claus, M. Derix, R. Kallosh, J. Kumar, P. K. Townsend, and A. Van Proeyen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4553 (1998); J. A. de Azcarraga, J. M. Izquierdo, J. C. Perez Bueno, and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 084015 (1999).

[19] T. R. Govindarajan, V. Suneeta, and S. Vaidya, Nucl. Phys. **B583**, 291 (2000).

[20] D. Birmingham, K. S. Gupta, and S. Sen, Phys. Lett. B **505**, 191 (2001); K. S. Gupta and S. Sen, *ibid.* **526**, 121 (2002); Mod. Phys. Lett. A **18**, 1463 (2003).

[21] B. Basu-Mallick and K. S. Gupta, Phys. Lett. A **292**, 36 (2001); B. Basu-Mallick, P. K. Ghosh, and K. S. Gupta, Nucl. Phys. **B659**, 437 (2003); Phys. Lett. A **311**, 87 (2003).

[22] G. W. Gibbons and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B **454**, 187 (1999).

[23] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1973).

[24] R. Myers and M. J. Perry, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **172**, 304 (1986).

[25] T. Ortín, *Gravity and Strings* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2004).

[26] V. P. Frolov and D. V. Fursaev, Classical Quantum Gravity **15**, 2041 (1998); and references therein.

[27] *Higher Transcendental Functions*, edited by A. Erdélyi and the staff of the Bateman Manuscript Project, Vol. II (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953), Chap. XII.

[28] A. R. Forsyth, *A Treatise on Differential Equations*, 6th ed. (Macmillan, London, 1929).

[29] G. N. J. Añaños, H. E. Camblong, and C. R. Ordóñez, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 025006 (2003); H. E. Camblong and C. R. Ordóñez, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 125013 (2003).

[30] H. E. Camblong and C. R. Ordóñez, hep-th/0305035.

[31] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **66**, 291 (1979).

[32] A. Zee, *Quantum Field Theory in a Nutshell* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2003).

[33] G. W. Gibbons and M. J. Perry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 985 (1976).

[34] K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 024007 (1999).

[35] S. Shankaranarayanan, K. Srinivasan, and T. Padmanabhan, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **16**, 571 (2001); S. Shankaranarayanan, T. Padmanabhan, and K. Srinivasan, Classical Quantum Gravity **19**, 2671 (2002).

[36] E. C. Vagenas, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. B **117**, 899 (2002).

[37] T. Jacobson and G. Kang, Classical Quantum Gravity **10**, L201 (1993).

[38] E. L. Ince, *Ordinary Differential Equations* (Dover, New York, 1956), Chap. X.

[39] R. Langer, Phys. Rev. **51**, 669 (1937).

[40] The higher-dimensional case is discussed in H. E. Camblong and C. R. Ordóñez, hep-th/0412309.

[41] G. 't Hooft, gr-qc/0401027.

[42] L. Susskind and J. Uglum, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2700 (1994).

[43] J. L. F. Barbón and R. Emparan, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 4527 (1995); S. P. de Alwis and N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3529 (1995); S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 618 (1995); N. E. Mavromatos and E. Winstanley, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 3190 (1996).

[44] J.-G. Demers, R. Lafrance, and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 2245 (1995); D. V. Fursaev and S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Lett. B **365**, 51 (1996); S. P. Kim, S. K. Kim, K.-S. Soh, and J. H. Yee, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12**, 5223 (1997);
T. Shimomura, Phys. Lett. B **480**, 207 (2000); E. Winstanley, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 084013 (2001).

[45] S. Mukohyama and W. Israel, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 104005 (1998).